17. [Dektim] A semi-wack clot device, comprising

a transperent substrare with first texture on one of its two surfaces and second texture on

other surface,

a first epitaxial layer comprising first active layer and grown on the top of said first

second texture.

a second eminated layer comprising second active layer and grown on the top of suit

18. [Detetion] The remiconducton device of claim 17, further competing first buffer lever prown in between said first epitaxial lever and said first texture

of said substrate, and a second buffer layer grown in between said

secund ephanial layer and serid second texture of said substate.

19. [Delation]The semiconducto: device of claim 17, wherein both eath first texture and said second texture compaising wells and walls.

20. [Deletion]The semiconductor device of plaim 19, wherein the width of said wells it in a

range of manocurture to micrometers.

[21. [Deletion.] The permiconductor device of claim 13, wherein the depth of said wells is in a range of nanococtus to micrometers.
[22. [Deletion.] The semiconductor device of claim 19, wherein said wells have the shape of

said semicoreductive device. 3. [Deleticn] the semiconductor device of claim 19, wherein the dimension of said wells is

in the range of wnormsters to micrometers.

PAGE 5/7 * RCVD AT 6/27/2005 11:45:44 AM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-1/0 * DNIS:8729306 * CSID:5109233360 * DURATION (mm-ss):01-18

Page 2 of the OA

Quote of the OA:

identified species 1-5, and identify the plaims readable on the elected single species." Therefore, to respond to this Office action, amplicant is required to elect a single species among the "....Accurdingly, the identified species 1-6 are indeed pateriable distinctive from each other

Applicant cocordingly elects specie 1, and identifies the claims 1-8 readable on the elected

Page 3 of the OA

Quote of the OA;

37CFR. .23(c) hexause the amendment acks a marked version so as to show what are the changes made to the claims, Addition abould be underlined; and deletions should be brackated "The emendment to the claims filed on 3/18/2005 does not camply with the requirement of Applicant accordingly marks the deletions, and there is no addition

Conditional Request for Constructive Assistance

submits that this application is now in concition for allowance, which action they respectfully proper form, and that the obsines all define parentable over the prior and Therefore appirent

For all the shove reasons, applicants submit that the specification and claims are now in

CONCLUSION

proper, definite, and define novel succence, which is also unobvious. If, fir any casen this and section 2173.17(j) in order that the undersigned can place this application in allowable the constructive assistance and suggestions of the Examinar principal to M.P.B.P. Section 2172.02 application is not believed to be in full conditions for allowance, applicants respectfully request condition as scan as possible and without the need of further proceedings. Applicants have americal the specification and claims of this application so that they are

Yery : espectfully

PAGE 6/7 * RCVD AT 6/27/2005 11:45:44 AM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-1/0 * DNIS:8729306 * CSID:5109233360 * DURATION (mm-ss):01-18

Inventor's signature:

35964 V-vian Place Premont, CA 94536 Phone: (\$10) 794-5953

Certificate of Mailing, I certify that, on the date below, this correspondence will be deposited with the United State Postal Service by First Class Meil, pushage prepaid, in an envelop addressed to "Box. Non-Fee Amendments, Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22315-14507, and fasced to 703-572-5306.